PREA Facility Audit Report: Final

Name of Facility: Volunteers of America Lake Street Residential Reentry Center

Facility Type: Community Confinement
Date Interim Report Submitted: NA
Date Final Report Submitted: 11/17/2024

Auditor Certification		
The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.		
No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review.		
I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate/resident/detainee or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.		
Auditor Full Name as Signed: Darnel Carlson Date of Signature: 11,		17/2024

AUDITOR INFORMATION	
Auditor name:	Carlson, Darnel
Email:	dmcarlson16@gmail.com
Start Date of On- Site Audit:	08/30/2024
End Date of On-Site Audit:	08/31/2024

FACILITY INFORMATION		
Facility name:	Volunteers of America Lake Street Residential Reentry Center	
Facility physical address:	2825 East Lake Street, Minneapolis , Minnesota - 55406	
Facility mailing address:		

Primary Contact

Name:	Chris Doege
Email Address:	chris.doege@voamn.org
Telephone Number:	612-877-4252

Facility Director	
Name:	Chris Doege
Email Address:	chris.doege@voamn.org
Telephone Number:	612-877-4252

Facility PREA Compliance Manager		
Name:		
Email Address:		
Telephone Number:		

Facility Characteristics	
Designed facility capacity:	58
Current population of facility:	41
Average daily population for the past 12 months:	61
Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months?	No
What is the facility's population designation?	Both womens/girls and mens/boys
Which population(s) does the facility hold? Select all that apply (Nonbinary describes a person who does not identify exclusively as a boy/man or a girl/woman. Some people also use this term to describe their gender expression. For definitions of "intersex"	

and "transgender," please see https://www.prearesourcecenter.org/ standard/115-5)	
Age range of population:	18-70
Facility security levels/resident custody levels:	Community Confinement
Number of staff currently employed at the facility who may have contact with residents:	21
Number of individual contractors who have contact with residents, currently authorized to enter the facility:	3
Number of volunteers who have contact with residents, currently authorized to enter the facility:	3

AGENCY INFORMATION		
Name of agency:	Volunteers of America Minnesota and Wisconsin	
Governing authority or parent agency (if applicable):	Volunteers of America, Inc.	
Physical Address:	7625 Metro Boulevard , Minneapolis , Minnesota - 55439	
Mailing Address:		
Telephone number:		

Agency Chief Executive Officer Information:		
Name:		
Email Address:		
Telephone Number:		

Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator Information

Name: Ellen Hillebrand	Email Address:	ellen.hillebrand@voamn.org
------------------------	----------------	----------------------------

Facility AUDIT FINDINGS

Summary of Audit Findings

The OAS automatically populates the number and list of Standards exceeded, the number of Standards met, and the number and list of Standards not met.

Auditor Note: In general, no standards should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA." A compliance determination must be made for each standard. In rare instances where an auditor determines that a standard is not applicable, the auditor should select "Meets Standard" and include a comprehensive discussion as to why the standard is not applicable to the facility being audited.

Number of standards exceeded:		
1	 115.286 - Sexual abuse incident reviews 	
Number of standards met:		
40		
Number of standards not met:		
0		

POST-AUDIT REPORTING INFORMATION	
GENERAL AUDIT INFORMATION	
On-site Audit Dates	
1. Start date of the onsite portion of the audit:	2024-08-30
2. End date of the onsite portion of the audit:	2024-08-31
Outreach	
10. Did you attempt to communicate with community-based organization(s) or victim advocates who provide services to this facility and/or who may have insight into relevant conditions in the facility?	YesNo
a. Identify the community-based organization(s) or victim advocates with whom you communicated:	Sexual Violence Center (SVC)
AUDITED FACILITY INFORMATION	
14. Designated facility capacity:	58
15. Average daily population for the past 12 months:	61
16. Number of inmate/resident/detainee housing units:	24
17. Does the facility ever hold youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees?	No Not Applicable for the facility type audited (i.e., Community Confinement Facility or Juvenile Facility)

Audited Facility Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit	
Inmates/Residents/Detainees Population Char of the Audit	racteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion
18. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of onsite portion of the audit:	42
19. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees with a physical disability in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
20. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
21. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (visually impaired) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
22. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
23. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
24. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0

25. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
26. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
27. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
28. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
29. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit (e.g., groups not tracked, issues with identifying certain populations):	There are no additional comments to report.
Staff, Volunteers, and Contractors Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit	
30. Enter the total number of STAFF, including both full- and part-time staff, employed by the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	21
31. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:	3

32. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:	3
33. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of staff, volunteers, and contractors who were in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	There are no additional comments to report.
INTERVIEWS	
Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews	
Random Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews	
34. Enter the total number of RANDOM INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:	10
35. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees: (select all that apply)	 Age Race Ethnicity (e.g., Hispanic, Non-Hispanic) Length of time in the facility Housing assignment Gender Other None
36. How did you ensure your sample of RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees was geographically diverse?	The facility only houses males. I made selections based on age, race, and length of time in facility.
37. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of random inmate/ resident/detainee interviews?	YesNo

38. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation):

The only barrier to selecting and conducting resident interviews is meeting with them before they leave the facility to go to work, appointments, or passes.

Targeted Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews

39. Enter the total number of TARGETED INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:

0

As stated in the PREA Auditor Handbook, the breakdown of targeted interviews is intended to guide auditors in interviewing the appropriate cross-section of inmates/residents/detainees who are the most vulnerable to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. When completing questions regarding targeted inmate/resident/detainee interviews below, remember that an interview with one inmate/resident/detainee may satisfy multiple targeted interview requirements. These questions are asking about the number of interviews conducted using the targeted inmate/resident/detainee protocols. For example, if an auditor interviews an inmate who has a physical disability, is being held in segregated housing due to risk of sexual victimization, and disclosed prior sexual victimization, that interview would be included in the totals for each of those questions. Therefore, in most cases, the sum of all the following responses to the targeted inmate/resident/detainee interview categories will exceed the total number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees who were interviewed. If a particular targeted population is not applicable in the audited facility, enter "0".

40. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees with a physical disability using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:

0

40. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category:

Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.

The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.

40. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	The PAQ did not identify any residents who met the criteria under the targeted interview categories as physically disabled. Documents reviewed at the facility, observations onsite, and conversations with residents and staff did not disclose any information about physically disabled residents being housed there. I spoke with the Program Director to verify there weren't any physically disabled residents in the facility.
41. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	0
41. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category:	Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
41. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	The PAQ did not identify any residents who met the criteria under the targeted interview categories with a cognitive or functional disability. Documents reviewed at the facility, observations onsite, and conversations with other residents, and facility staff did not disclose any information about cognitively or functionally disabled residents being housed there. I spoke with the Program Director to verify there weren't any cognitively or functionally disabled residents in the facility.
42. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who are Blind or have low vision (i.e., visually impaired) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	0

42. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category:	■ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ■ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
42. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	The PAQ did not identify any residents who met the criteria under the targeted interview categories who are blind or have low vision. Documents were reviewed at the facility, observations were made on site, and conversations with other residents and facility staff did not disclose any information about blind or low-vision residents being housed there. I spoke with the Program Director to verify that there were no blind or low-vision residents in the facility.
43. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	0
43. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category:	■ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ■ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
43. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	The PAQ did not identify any deaf or hard-of-hearing residents who met the criteria under the targeted interview categories. Documents were reviewed at the facility, observations were made on site, and conversations with other residents and facility staff did not disclose any information about deaf or hard-of-hearing residents being housed there. I spoke with the Program Director to verify that there were no deaf or hard-of-hearing residents in the facility.

44. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	0
44. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category:	Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
44. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	The PAQ did not identify any residents who met the criteria for limited English proficiency under the targeted interview categories. Documents were reviewed at the facility, observations were made on site, and conversations with other residents and facility staff did not disclose any information about limited English-proficient residents housed there. I spoke with the Program Director to verify that there were no limited English-proficient residents in the facility.
45. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:	0
45. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category:	Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.

45. Discuss your corroboration The PAQ did not identify any residents who strategies to determine if this met the criteria under the targeted interview population exists in the audited facility categories who identified as lesbian, gay, or (e.g., based on information obtained bisexual. Documents were reviewed at the from the PAQ; documentation reviewed facility, observations were made on site, and onsite; and discussions with staff and conversations with other residents and facility other inmates/residents/detainees). staff did not disclose any information about residents who identified as lesbian, gay, or bisexual being housed there. I spoke with the Program Director to verify that there weren't any residents identifying as lesbian, gay, or bisexual in the facility. 46. Enter the total number of interviews 0 conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who identify as transgender or intersex using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and **Bisexual Inmates**" protocol: Facility said there were "none here" during 46. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required the onsite portion of the audit and/or the number of targeted inmates/residents/ facility was unable to provide a list of these detainees in this category: inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this. targeted category declined to be interviewed. 46. Discuss your corroboration The PAQ did not identify any residents who strategies to determine if this met the criteria under the targeted interview population exists in the audited facility categories who identified as transgender or (e.g., based on information obtained intersex. Documents were reviewed at the from the PAQ; documentation reviewed facility, observations were made on site, and onsite; and discussions with staff and conversations with other residents and facility other inmates/residents/detainees). staff did not disclose any information about residents who identified as transgender or intersex being housed there. I spoke with the Program Director to verify that there weren't any residents identifying as lesbian, gay, or bisexual in the facility. 47. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who reported sexual abuse in this facility using the "Inmates who Reported a Sexual Abuse" protocol:

47. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category:	Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
47. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	The PAQ did not identify any confined persons who met the criteria under the targeted interview categories who reported sexual abuse. Documents were reviewed at the facility, observations were made on site, and conversations with other residents and facility staff did not disclose any information about residents who reported sexual abuse being housed there. I spoke with the Program Director to verify that there weren't any residents who reported sexual abuse.
48. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening using the "Inmates who Disclosed Sexual Victimization during Risk Screening" protocol:	0
48. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category:	Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.

48. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	The PAQ did not identify any residents who met the criteria under the targeted interview categories who disclosed prior sexual victimization. Documents were reviewed at the facility, observations were made on site, and conversations with other residents and facility staff did not disclose any information about residents who disclosed prior victimization housed there. I spoke with the Program Director to verify that there were no residents who reported prior sexual victimization in the facility.
49. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization using the "Inmates Placed in Segregated Housing (for Risk of Sexual Victimization/Who Allege to have Suffered Sexual Abuse)" protocol:	0
49. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category:	Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
49. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	The facility design does not allow for segregated housing.
50. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing targeted inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews):	All the residents interviewed cooperated with the process.

Staff, Volunteer, and Contractor Interviews	
Random Staff Interviews	
51. Enter the total number of RANDOM STAFF who were interviewed:	6
52. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM STAFF interviewees: (select all that apply)	Length of tenure in the facility Shift assignment Work assignment Rank (or equivalent) Other (e.g., gender, race, ethnicity, languages spoken) None
53. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of RANDOM STAFF interviews?	Yes No
53. Select the reason(s) why you were unable to conduct the minimum number of RANDOM STAFF interviews: (select all that apply)	■ Too many staff declined to participate in interviews. ■ Not enough staff employed by the facility to meet the minimum number of random staff interviews (Note: select this option if there were not enough staff employed by the facility or not enough staff employed by the facility to interview for both random and specialized staff roles). ■ Not enough staff available in the facility during the onsite portion of the audit to meet the minimum number of random staff interviews. ■ Other

54. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random staff (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation):

All the shift staff employees scheduled during the onsite audit were interviewed. The staff interviewed had different lengths of tenure and male and female staff were interviewed.

Specialized Staff, Volunteers, and Contractor Interviews

Staff in some facilities may be responsible for more than one of the specialized staff duties. Therefore, more than one interview protocol may apply to an interview with a single staff member and that information would satisfy multiple specialized staff interview requirements.

55. Enter the total number of staff in a SPECIALIZED STAFF role who were interviewed (excluding volunteers and contractors):	5
56. Were you able to interview the Agency Head?	
57. Were you able to interview the Warden/Facility Director/Superintendent or their designee?	
58. Were you able to interview the PREA Coordinator?	YesNo
59. Were you able to interview the PREA Compliance Manager?	No NA (NA if the agency is a single facility agency or is otherwise not required to have a PREA Compliance Manager per the Standards)

60. Select which SPECIALIZED STAFF roles were interviewed as part of this	Agency contract administrator
audit from the list below: (select all that apply)	☐ Intermediate or higher-level facility staff responsible for conducting and documenting unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment
	Line staff who supervise youthful inmates (if applicable)
	Education and program staff who work with youthful inmates (if applicable)
	☐ Medical staff
	☐ Mental health staff
	Non-medical staff involved in cross-gender strip or visual searches
	Administrative (human resources) staff
	Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) staff
	Investigative staff responsible for conducting administrative investigations
	☐ Investigative staff responsible for conducting criminal investigations
	Staff who perform screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness
	Staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing/residents in isolation
	Staff on the sexual abuse incident review team
	Designated staff member charged with monitoring retaliation
	☐ First responders, both security and non- security staff
	■ Intake staff

	Other
If "Other," provide additional specialized staff roles interviewed:	Employment Specialist Case Managers Senior Case Manager
61. Did you interview VOLUNTEERS who may have contact with inmates/ residents/detainees in this facility?	Yes No
62. Did you interview CONTRACTORS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?	Yes No
63. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing specialized staff.	VOA-Lake Street is a small community confinement facility where staff are responsible for multiple specialized duties. The Senior Case Manager and Case Managers are responsible for intake and risk screening. The employment specialist oversees the residents' education on PREA.
SITE REVIEW AND DOCUMENTATI	ON SAMPLING
Site Review	
PREA Standard 115.401 (h) states, "The auditor's of the audited facilities." In order to meet the reconstruction of the onsite audit must include a thorough review is not a casual tour of the facility. It is an awith staff and inmates to determine whether, and practices demonstrate compliance with the Standard review, you must document your tests of critical through observations, and any issues identified we collect through the site review is a crucial part of compliance determinations and will be needed to Audit Reporting Information.	quirements in this Standard, the site review gh examination of the entire facility. The site active, inquiring process that includes talking d the extent to which, the audited facility's dards. Note: As you are conducting the site functions, important information gathered with facility practices. The information you the evidence you will analyze as part of your

Yes

O No

64. Did you have access to all areas of

the facility?

Was the site review an active, inquiring process that included the following:		
65. Observations of all facility practices in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., signage, supervision practices, crossgender viewing and searches)?	YesNo	
66. Tests of all critical functions in the facility in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., risk screening process, access to outside emotional support services, interpretation services)?		
67. Informal conversations with inmates/ residents/detainees during the site review (encouraged, not required)?		
68. Informal conversations with staff during the site review (encouraged, not required)?		
69. Provide any additional comments regarding the site review (e.g., access to areas in the facility, observations, tests of critical functions, or informal conversations).	I had access to all areas of the facility, observed facility operations, reviewed resident files, and conversed with the staff informally.	
Documentation Sampling		
Where there is a collection of records to review-s records; background check records; supervisory processing records; inmate education records; m self-select for review a representative sample of	rounds logs; risk screening and intake edical files; and investigative files-auditors must	
70. In addition to the proof documentation selected by the agency or facility and provided to you, did you also conduct an auditor-selected sampling of documentation?	YesNo	

71. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting additional documentation (e.g., any documentation you oversampled, barriers to selecting additional documentation, etc.).

Random resident case files were selected and reviewed, and risk assessments and documentation of the resident's participation in PREA education were completed and included in the reviewed files.

SEXUAL ABUSE AND SEXUAL HARASSMENT ALLEGATIONS AND INVESTIGATIONS IN THIS FACILITY

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Allegations and Investigations Overview

Remember the number of allegations should be based on a review of all sources of allegations (e.g., hotline, third-party, grievances) and should not be based solely on the number of investigations conducted. Note: For question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, or detainee sexual abuse allegations and investigations, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

72. Total number of SEXUAL ABUSE allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

	# of sexual abuse allegations	# of criminal investigations	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
Inmate- on- inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0
Staff- on- inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0

73. Total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

	# of sexual harassment allegations	# of criminal investigations	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
Inmate-on- inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0
Staff-on- inmate sexual harassment	2	0	2	0
Total	2	0	2	0

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Sexual Abuse Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently (i.e., if a criminal investigation was referred for prosecution and resulted in a conviction, that investigation outcome should only appear in the count for "convicted.") Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual abuse investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

74. Criminal SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/ Court Case Filed	Convicted/ Adjudicated	Acquitted
Inmate-on- inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0	0
Staff-on- inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0

75. Administrative SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0

Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently. Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detained sexual harassment investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

76. Criminal SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/ Court Case Filed	Convicted/ Adjudicated	Acquitted
Inmate-on- inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0	0
Staff-on- inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0

77. Administrative SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	1	1	0
Total	0	1	1	0

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review

78. Enter the total number of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/ sampled: 78. Explain why you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files: The facility did not have any sexual abuse allegations reported.

79. Did your selection of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?	No NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files)
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation	files
80. Enter the total number of INMATE- ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:	0
81. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?	No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)
82. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?	No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation fil	es
83. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:	0
84. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?	No Na (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)

85. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?	No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)
Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Select	ed for Review
86. Enter the total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	2
87. Did your selection of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?	Yes● NoNA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files)
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investig	pation files
88. Enter the total number of INMATE- ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	0
89. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT files include criminal investigations?	No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)
90. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?	No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)

Г

Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files		
91. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	2	
92. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include criminal investigations?	Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)	
93. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?	 Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files) 	
94. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting and reviewing sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigation files.	The facility has one unfounded and one unsubstantiated investigation to review that occurred in the past twelve months. An administrative investigation was conducted on both allegations.	
SUPPORT STAFF INFORMATION		
DOJ-certified PREA Auditors Support S	taff	
95. Did you receive assistance from any DOJ-CERTIFIED PREA AUDITORS at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the preonsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.	Yes No	

Non-certified Support Staff	
96. Did you receive assistance from any NON-CERTIFIED SUPPORT STAFF at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the preonsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.	Yes No
AUDITING ARRANGEMENTS AND	COMPENSATION
97. Who paid you to conduct this audit?	 The audited facility or its parent agency My state/territory or county government employer (if you audit as part of a consortium or circular auditing arrangement, select this option) A third-party auditing entity (e.g., accreditation body, consulting firm) Other

Standards

Auditor Overall Determination Definitions

- Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the stand for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective actions)

Auditor Discussion Instructions

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The agency has implemented a zero-tolerance policy, as detailed in facility policy #2-10, which clearly states the agency's stance against all forms of sexual abuse and harassment. This policy comprehensively addresses the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to such incidents. The policy outlines the prohibited behavior and includes basic definitions. Additionally, the policy outlines discipline for staff, volunteers, contractors, and confined persons who violate sexual abuse and harassment policies. The six staff members explained the training they received on the required training topics outlined in standard 115.231 (a).

The shift manager has been designated as the agency's PREA Coordinator. The coordinator reports having sufficient time to develop, implement, and oversee the agency's efforts for PREA compliance. As outlined in the organizational chart, the shift manager reports directly to the program manager, who reports to the Director. The shift manager also has direct access to the Director for PREA-related matters.

115.212	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Volunteers of America is a community confinement facility that contracts with other agencies to confine their offenders. The agency contracts with the Bureau of Prisons. Department of Corrections, and Federal Probation.
	The Lake Street location houses male confined persons for the Bureau of Prisons and Federal Probation.

115.213	Supervision and monitoring
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The facility reports on the PAQ an average daily population of 57 confined persons. On the first day of the on-site audit, 42 male confined persons were housed in the facility. The staffing plan is based on 58 confined persons, the facility's maximum capacity. The staffing plan includes considerations outlined in paragraph (a) of this standard and the facility staffing pattern policy. The staffing pattern policy requires a minimum of two shift staff on duty, twenty-four hours per day, seven days per week—the Minnesota Department of Corrections Rules for Community Confinement Facilities rule 2920.3700 states, "It is mandatory that the ratio of staff to residents must be at least one staff person for every 40 residents on site."
	The facility reported on the PAQ that there had not been any deviations from the staffing plan. Shift staff must stay until their shift relief reports to the facility.
	The PREA Coordinator is new to the position. There was a discussion with administrative staff about the PREA Coordinator being included in the annual staffing review. The PREA Coordinator has experience maintaining minimum staffing levels as the shift manager.

115.215	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The facility, in a commitment to its zero-tolerance policy, has reported on the PAQ that no cross-gender pat searches or strip searches were conducted in the past twelve months.

Facility policy #2-10 (page 41) prohibits employees from conducting strip searches or visual body cavity searches. The facility conducts same-sex pat searches. This facility only houses male-confined persons. Male staff conduct pat searches and property searches. Female staff conduct a no-contact search using a wand and a property search. In line with these policies, the six staff members interviewed confirmed that male staff pat searched male persons and that female staff use a wand. Similarly, the ten confined persons interviewed confirmed female staff conduct no contact wand searches.

Facility policy #2-10 (page 41) ensures that confined persons can shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing in private. The policy also requires staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering resident rooms. The six staff interviewed confirmed that female staff announced their presence when entering a floor. The staff knew about the policy of announcing their presence when entering a floor that housed persons of the opposite gender. The ten confined persons interviewed confirmed that female staff announce their presence and they are given privacy to dress and perform bodily functions.

Staff have been trained on how to conduct pat searches professionally.

115.216

Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Facility policy #9-3 outlines that translation services will be provided.

Volunteers of America - Lake Street houses male persons for the Federal Bureau of Prisons and Federal Probation. The Federal Bureau of Prisons and Federal Probation submits a detailed referral to the facility. The referral paperwork includes information on whether the person has limited English proficiency or mental or physical disabilities. The facility would have services in place before the person arrives. The Director confirmed that non-English speaking, deaf, and hard-ofhearing persons would have access to an interpreter. A staff member will verbally orient confined persons with intellectual disabilities, blind or low-vision, or limited reading skills. Documents would be provided in the language the person understands.

The facility reported on the PAQ that zero resident interpreters, readers, or other types of assistance have been used to report allegations of sexual abuse or harassment.

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Facility policy #2-10 (page 41) outlines the requirements for hiring or promoting anyone who may have contact with confined persons. The agency will not enlist the services of any contractor or volunteer who may have contact with confined persons with the prohibited conduct specified in paragraph (a) of this standard. The program director confirmed that any incidents of sexual harassment will be considered in determining whether to hire or promote anyone or retain the services of any contractor who may have contact with confined persons.

The agency submits the fingerprint card to the Federal Bureau of Prisons where a comprehensive criminal background check is conducted. The Federal Bureau of Prisons sends the agency a hiring determination. The agency will not hire or promote anyone the Federal Bureau of Prisons has denied. Staff files were reviewed with the program director to verify background checks were completed.

Facility policy #2-10 (page 41) requires criminal background record checks on all current employees, volunteers, and contractors who may have contact with confined persons at least every five years. The Federal Bureau of Prisons conducts these checks.

During interviews, new applicants and staff applying for promotions are asked the three questions outlined in paragraph (a) of this standard. Facility policy #2-10 (page 41) requires employees who may have contact with confined persons to have a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any conduct described in paragraph (a) of this standard. The facility is adding this standard's three questions outlined in paragraph (a) to the annual review.

The program director confirmed that any request for information from an institutional employer about a former employee's previous misconduct would be shared when a signed release of information is provided unless prohibited by law.

115.218 Upgrades to facilities and technology

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The facility reports in the PAQ that since the last PREA audit, the agency has not acquired a new facility or completed any substantial modifications to the building.

New cameras have been installed since the last PREA audit. The Director expressed his excitement about the latest cameras; existing cameras were upgraded, and cameras were added to eliminate any potential blind spots.

The Director and Program Manager are committed to ensuring that staff, visitors,

and confined persons are safe.

115.221 Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The agency has one trained staff member who conducts (non-criminal) investigations. The Minneapolis Police Department (MPD) would conduct criminal sexual abuse and harassment investigations alleged to have occurred in the facility. The licensed investigator from MPD uses a uniform evidence protocol for obtaining usable physical evidence outlined in MPD policy and procedure. Staff were asked to explain their responsibilities as a first responder. The six staff members explained their duties and responsibility to secure the victim, aggressor, and the scene until MPD arrived.

Forensic medical examinations are conducted at Hennepin County Medical Center (HCMC), https://www.hennepinhealthcare.org/support-services/violence-assault-and-abuse-resources/, in Minneapolis, Minnesota. HCMC has an Assault Response Team and a full-time SANE nurse on duty. The Hennepin County Assault Response Team (HART) supervisor confirmed that the program follows the National Protocol for obtaining usable physical evidence. Currently, HCMC contacts the Minnesota Indian Women's Resource Center to request an advocate respond to the emergency department. The victims' advocate from the Resource Center will be an advocate for any sexual assault victim. The supervisor confirmed that the nurses treat anyone who has been assaulted regardless of where the victim came from. The facility has a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the Sexual Violence Center (SVC) https://www.sexualviolencecenter.org/, in Minneapolis, Minnesota, to provide emotional support for confined persons in the facility. As outlined in facility policy #2-10 (page 45), treatment services will be provided without financial cost to the victim.

The facility reported on the PAQ that zero forensic medical examinations were conducted in the past twelve months.

115.222 Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Facility policy #2-10 (page 46) requires administrative, criminal, or both investigations to be completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and harassment. The facility investigator conducts non-criminal investigations promptly, thoroughly,

and objectively, including third-party and anonymous reports. The facility reported on the PAQ that three administrative investigations were completed. Two investigations were completed at the Lake Street facility. The facility investigator confirmed that the Minneapolis Police Department (MPD) is contacted to investigate any allegations that appear to be criminal.

The agency publishes the investigation information on its website: https://www.voamnwi.org/residential-reentry-centers.

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion Facility Policy #2-10 (pages 41-42) outlines the training topics all employees who may have contact with confined persons receive on preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and harassment. Six staff members, with a service length ranging from four weeks to three years, were interviewed. The staff interviewed confirmed receiving initial training and annually after that. The facility uses the Relias online PREA training curriculum. Additionally, all staff must read facility policy #2-10 and read and sign the facility's Code of Conduct policy. The training is designed for a co-ed facility. The Lake Street facility houses males; however, the Roseville facility is co-ed, so all staff are trained on the Relias online training platform. Additional training includes professional boundaries and effective communication skills.

The training records are stored electronically on the Relias training system.

115.232	Volunteer and contractor training
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Facility policy #2-10 (page 42) outlines the essential training requirements for volunteers and contractors who may have contact with confined persons.
	The program manager trains contractors and volunteers. The training process includes a video and individual meeting with the program manager. The individual is provided a handbook that includes additional PREA education information.
	The contractor or volunteer signs an acknowledgment of receipt and understanding of training.

115.233 Resident education

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The facility reported on the PAQ that 119 confined persons had been admitted in the past twelve months and received formal PREA education.

Facility policy #2-10 (page 42) outlines the education requirements provided to the confined persons.

Upon arrival at the facility, generally within twenty-four hours, confined persons receive initial PREA training as part of the intake process. The confined person meets privately with the case manager, who explains the agency's zero-tolerance policy, completes paperwork, and gives the person a resident handbook. Once a week, the employment specialist conducts formal PREA education training. The confined persons are shown a PowerPoint that explains the facility's rules, the handbook, and PREA education. Additionally, the new PREA video is shown. The confined persons sign a training acknowledgment that is placed in their file. Random confined persons' files were reviewed, and signed training acknowledgments were included in each file. Interviews with the case managers, the employment specialist, and confined persons confirmed that initial PREA information is explained at intake, and an orientation session is conducted weekly. A case manager explained the intake process and the forms used.

Volunteers of America - Lake Street houses male persons for the Federal Bureau of Prisons. The Federal Bureau of Prisons submits a detailed referral to the facility. The referral paperwork includes information on whether the person has limited English proficiency or mental or physical disabilities. The facility would have services in place before the person arrives. The Director confirmed a non-English speaking, or deaf or hard-of-hearing person would have access to an interpreter. A staff member will verbally orient confined persons with intellectual disabilities, blind or low-vision, or limited reading skills. Documents would be provided in the language the person understands.

The facility ensures key information about PREA is available or visible to confined persons on posters and the resident handbook.

115.234 Specialized training: Investigations

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The facility's trained investigator took the Investigations in Confinement Settings class on the National Institute of Correction training platform. The facility

investigator only conducts administrative investigations.

The Minneapolis Police Department conducts criminal investigations.

115.235	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The facility houses confined persons approved by the Federal Bureau of Prisons and can be released to attend programs and appointments at community providers.

115.241 Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard **Auditor Discussion** As outlined in facility policy #2-10 (pages 44-45), all residents will be screened during intake using an objective screening instrument for their risk of being sexually abused by other residents or sexually abusive toward other residents. Facility practice is for the senior case manager or case manager assigned to the confined person to meet privately with the individual within twenty-four hours of arrival at the facility. There are rare occasions when the intake is completed within seventytwo hours. The three staff members interviewed confirmed the goal is to complete the intake process within twenty-four hours and upon the person's arrival at the facility. Nine of the confined persons interviewed confirmed meeting with a case manager when they arrived or within seventy-two hours. One confined person had been in the facility for eleven hours and had not met with the case manager. The person felt safe and saw the postings; he was confident any information he needed was available. The senior case manager walked through the intake process of a new confined person. The risk screening screening was reviewed, and the requirements for this standard were included, as outlined in paragraph (d). The facility receives a packet of information for individuals eligible for the program. The packet contains information about the person's behavior and conviction history. The three staff members interviewed were familiar with the risk assessment and the information collected. Facility policy #2-10 (pages 44-45) outlines this standard's reclassification

requirements. The three case managers interviewed completed the initial risk

screening and the thirty-day reclassifications. Four confined persons who had been in the facility for over thirty days were interviewed and confirmed being reclassified within thirty days. Confined persons have check-in meetings weekly for the first

seven weeks and bi-weekly after seven weeks to discuss progress and completing goals. A confined person's risk level will also be assessed when warranted due to a referral, request, incident of sexual abuse, or receipt of additional information that bears on the person's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness. Random confined person's records were reviewed.

Facility policy #2-10 (page 45) prohibits disciplining confined persons for refusing to answer or not disclosing complete information in response to the risk screening. The three case managers confirmed that a confined person would not be disciplined for refusing to answer or disclosing complete risk screening information.

Copies of the risk screenings and reclassifications are securely stored in the person's case file and electronically in secure management.

115.242 Use of screening information

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The facility uses risk screening to determine the best room placement for the individual. The senior case manager is responsible for making the final decision. The PREA coordinator and case managers confirmed the senior case manager uses the information from risk screenings to determine room placements for each person on a case-by-case basis.

The senior case manager understands the importance of specific room placements for confined persons to ensure each resident's safety.

The PREA coordinator, the senior case manager, and the case managers confirmed that confined persons meet with their case manager weekly for seven weeks then biweekly until release. During the meetings, the case manager addresses any concerns about room placement and programming assignments.

All the showers in the facility allow a person to shower privately.

The facility is not subject to a consent decree. The PREA coordinator and senior case manager confirmed that placement of transgender and intersex persons is determined on a case-by-case basis.

115.251 Resident reporting Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion

The facility has established multiple internal ways for confined persons to report sexual abuse, harassment, retaliation, and staff neglect that may have contributed to an incident. As outlined in facility policy #2-10 and the confined person handbook, any allegations of sexual abuse or harassment can be privately reported in multiple ways.

- Request forms
- Grievance forms
- Verbal reporting
- Sexual abuse hotline
- Third-party reporting

Information on how to make a report is posted on all facility floors and explained during the initial intake and in-person PREA education training with the employment specialist. The five staff interviewed listed multiple ways a confined person can privately report sexual abuse and harassment. The ten confined persons interviewed listed various ways to make a report, including a verbal report, in writing, report to a staff member, and call the hotline number.

The facility has posted the Federal Bureau of Prisons hotline number so that confined persons can make a confidential report to an agency outside the facility. A report received on the hotline is immediately reported back to the facility. All the confined persons knew about the hotline number and where the information was posted.

As outlined in facility policy #2-10, the five staff interviewed confirmed they would accept reports made in writing, anonymously, and from third parties and would document a verbal report as soon as possible.

The five staff interviewed would privately report sexual abuse and harassment of confined persons to the program manager, case manager, or PREA coordinator. Information about how staff can privately report sexual abuse and harassment is outlined in facility policy #2-10.

115.252 Exhaustion of administrative remedies

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The facility reported on the PAQ that there had been one grievance and zero emergency grievances related to sexual abuse filed in the past twelve months. The grievance submitted was reviewed, which confirmed the facility followed the policy

Facility policy #2-10 ensures that a grievance may be submitted to any staff member at any time and need not be submitted to the member who is the subject

of the complaint. Confined persons can submit a written grievance and give it to any staff member.

The facility ensures that grievances related to sexual abuse and harassment are investigated and resolved within ninety days of the initial filing. If the standard time for a response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision, the confined person will be notified in writing of any extension of up to seventy days and the date the decision will be made.

Third parties, including fellow confined persons, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, are permitted to assist a confined person in filing a grievance related to sexual abuse and harassment. If a third party files the grievance, the confined person must agree to have it filed on their behalf. The confined person's decision will be documented.

Facility policy #2-10 allows a confined person to file an emergency grievance if they believe they or another person are at substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. After receiving an emergency grievance, the grievance will immediately be forwarded to the program manager, who will respond within forty-eight hours and issue a final decision within five calendar days.

A confined person may be disciplined for filing a false grievance related to sexual abuse only when it is determined that the person filed the grievance in bad faith.

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion The agency has a signed Memorandum of Understanding with the Sexual Violence Center in Minneapolis, Minnesota, to provide confidential emotional support services. The contact information is visibly posted on all floors of the facility, and the confined persons interviewed knew it was posted. The facility does not have a recorded telephone system. Confined persons use the facility's landline phones, which are not recorded or monitored. The confined persons also have cell phones and said they would use them to make calls.

115.254	Third party reporting
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion

The agency has established a method for receiving third-party reports of sexual abuse and harassment of confined persons. Information on how to report is made public on the agency's website: https://www.voamnwi.org/residential-reentry-center.

115.261	Staff and agency reporting duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Facility policy #2-10 (page 43) requires all staff members to report immediately any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse, harassment, or retaliation to their supervisor, up to and including the PREA Coordinator, Shift Manager, Senior Case Manager, Program Manager, or Director. The five staff interviewed would immediately report the information to the PREA Coordinator, Program Manager, or Senior Director.
	The facility does not contract with medical or mental health practitioners; confined persons receive treatment and follow-up services from community providers.
	The facility is not licensed to hold juveniles under eighteen. The program manager said that if the victim is a vulnerable adult, the information will be reported to the appropriate authorities.
	The Program Manager confirmed that any allegations, including third-party and anonymous reports, are immediately reported to the Minneapolis Police Department (MPD).

115.262	Agency protection duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The facility reported in the PAQ that there had been zero instances where the facility determined a confined person was subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse within the past twelve months.
	The Director, Program Manager, and staff interviewed said the person would be moved immediately to keep the person safe.

115.263 Reporting to other confinement facilities

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The facility reported on the PAQ that it had received zero allegations of sexual abuse or harassment from another confinement facility in the past twelve months. The Director and Program Manager confirmed that Volunteers of America - Lake Street has not received any reports from another agency in the past twelve months.

Facility policy #2-10 (page 43) outlines the reporting requirements of this standard.

The Program Manager confirmed that any allegation by a confined person being sexually abused or harassed at another facility would be reported to the appropriate agency.

115.264 Staff first responder duties

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The facility reports in the PAQ that there have been zero allegations of a confined person being sexually abused in the facility in the past twelve months.

Facility policy #2-10 details the duties of the first responder:

- Separate the alleged victim and abuser;
- Preserve and protect any crime scene by securing the immediate area to ensure nothing is disturbed until the collection of evidence by the Minneapolis Police Department;
- Request and ensure that the victim and alleged abuser do nothing that would destroy physical evidence, such as washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, drinking, or eating.

Policy #2-10 (page 43) requires a first responder who is not a staff member to request the alleged victim refrain from any actions that could destroy physical evidence and immediately notify a staff member.

The five staff members were able to explain the steps they would follow if they were the first to be made aware that an individual had been a victim of sexual abuse.

115.265	Coordinated response
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion

The agency has developed a comprehensive, coordinated response plan to coordinate actions taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse. The plan outlines the responsibilities of staff first responders, agency leadership, and community partners, including the Minneapolis Police Department, medical staff, and emotional support services. The agency has posted its coordinated response plan on its website.

115.266	Preservation of ability to protect residents from contact with abusers			
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard			
	Auditor Discussion			
	Volunteers of America employees are at-will employees.			

115.267 Agency protection against retaliation **Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion** The facility reported twenty-one incidents of retaliation on the PAQ. During the onsite audit, it was confirmed that these were errors. Facility policy #2-10 (page 44) requires all confined persons and staff who report sexual abuse or harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or harassment investigations to be protected from retaliation by other confined persons and staff. The senior director and program manager are committed to maintaining the safety of confined persons, staff, and visitors and have confirmed that any reports of retaliation will be taken seriously and investigated. The program manager would monitor staff for retaliation, including possible shift changes, transferring to the Roseville location, and reviewing complaints. A case manager would monitor confined persons for retaliation, including weekly check-ins, monitor disciplinary reports, reassign them to another room, and make referrals to outside support services. A confined person or staff member would be monitored for at least ninety days or as long as necessary. The senior director confirmed that retaliation would not be tolerated and the individual would be protected.

115.271 Criminal and administrative agency investigations

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Facility policy #2-10 (pages 46-47) requires administrative, criminal, or both investigations to be completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and harassment. The facility investigator who conducts administrative investigations ensures that an investigation is generally initiated within twenty-four hours of the allegation. If an allegation appears criminal, the Minneapolis Police Department is contacted to investigate. The facility investigator ensures that third-party and anonymous allegations are investigated. The facility did not have any allegations from a third party or anonymously to review.

The facility investigator has completed the investigator training on the National Institute of Corrections online training platform. The agency has requested that the Minneapolis Police Department (MPD) conduct investigations as outlined in the PREA standards.

The facility investigator would collect incident reports and electronic monitoring data and secure the potential crime scene until MPD officers arrive. MPD officers would process physical and DNA evidence and interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses.

The Minneapolis Police Department (MPD) would conduct the criminal investigation following their agency's policy and procedures. MPD would also be the agency that refers substantiated criminal allegations to the County Attorney's Office for a charging decision. Minnesota State Statute 611.A.26.S.1 prohibits the use of polygraphs on victims of sexual abuse as part of a condition for proceeding with the investigation, charging, or prosecution of such offenses. MPD completes a written investigation report outlining the description of any physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence as feasible. The Program Director or PREA Coordinator would remain in contact with MPD to receive updates on the investigation.

The facility investigator documents all the information gathered (notes, electronic evidence, reports) in a written report. An administrative investigation includes determining if staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse and the reasoning behind any credibility assessments.

Facility policy #2-10 (page 46) requires all written reports for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years.

115.272 Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Facility policy #2-10 (page 46) states that the agency will not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether an administrative allegation has been substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded. In the past twelve months, zero allegations of sexual abuse were reported to have occurred in the facility.

The facility investigator confirmed that the preponderance of the evidence is the standard used to determine a final disposition of an administrative allegation.

115.273 Reporting to residents

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Facility policy #2-10 (page 47) requires the agency to inform the victim whether the allegation has been substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded. In the past twelve months, zero allegations of sexual abuse were reported to have occurred in the facility.

The Program Director or PREA Coordinator will contact the Minneapolis Police Department regarding the progress of the investigation. The agency will request copies of the completed investigation.

Facility policy #2-10 (page 47) outlines the information that would be provided to the person on the status of the accused staff member (as detailed in this standard).

Facility policy #2-10 (page 47) outlines the information that would be provided to the person on the alleged abuser if another confined person is accused (as detailed in this standard).

The Program Director or PREA Coordinator would notify the alleged victim in person and in writing of the final disposition of the allegation.

115.276 Disciplinary sanctions for staff

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The facility reports on the PAQ that zero staff members have been disciplined, terminated, or resigned before termination for violating agency sexual abuse and

harassment policies. The facility's policy #2-10 (page 47) and policy 2-7 outline the expected code of conduct for employees and the disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating sexual abuse and harassment policies. Termination is the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse with confined persons.

Facility policy #2-10 (page 47) outlines the progressive discipline of staff members for violations of policies related to sexual abuse and harassment (other than engaging in sexual abuse). Employee terminations for violations of sexual abuse or harassment policies or resignations by employees who would have been terminated if not for their resignation are reported to the law enforcement agency responsible for the investigation and to any relevant licensing bodies.

The Volunteers of America leadership is dedicated to maintaining a harassment-free environment for employees, confined persons, and visitors.

115.277 Corrective action for contractors and volunteers

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The facility reported on the PAQ that zero contractors or volunteers were reported to law enforcement or relevant licensing bodies for engaging in sexual abuse. The facility reports not having to enforce remedial measures or consider whether to prohibit further contact with confined persons in the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse and harassment policies.

Facility policy #2-10 (page 47) prohibits any contractor or volunteer from contact with confined persons. Contractors and volunteers who violate facility PREA policies will be reported to law enforcement and relevant licensing bodies unless the activity is not criminal.

The Program Director said any allegation would be investigated, and depending on the violation and the final disposition of the investigation, the volunteer or contractor may be given refresher training.

115.278 Disciplinary sanctions for residents

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The facility reported on the PAQ that there had been zero confined persons disciplined for violating the agency's sexual abuse and harassment policies.

The facility has a comprehensive discipline plan outlined in facility policy #2-10 (page 48) and the resident handbook. The discipline plan ensures due process and fairness; the confined person will be advised of their rights verbally and in writing and served a hearing notice that includes the hearing's date, time, and location. The hearing is ordinarily held within five days, excluding weekends and holidays. The agency adheres to the U.S. Bureau of Prisons on inmate discipline, which meets the minimum standards established by the U.S. Supreme Court in Wolff vs. McDonald. The disciplinary sanctions are determined by the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the confined person's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other persons with similar histories. The Program Director confirmed that a person's mental disability or illness and how it may have contributed to the behavior is considered when determining disciplinary sanctions.

The facility does not offer therapy, counseling, or other interventions to address and correct underlying reasons for abuse. A confined person would receive therapy or counseling from community providers.

As outlined in facility policy #2-10 (pages 47-48), confined persons aren't disciplined for sexual contact with a staff member unless there is a finding that the staff member did not consent to the contact. The facility would only consider the activity sexual abuse after determining the activity was coerced. A confined person will only be disciplined for false reports or lying that were found to be made in bad faith.

The facility did not have any disciplinary files related to sexual abuse and harassment to review.

The Minnesota Department of Corrections Enforcement and Inspection Unit inspects the facility and reviews its policy and procedures under the 2920 compliance rules. In its August 2023 final inspection report, the facility met the requirements of Compliance rule 2920.5700 Discipline and Disciplinary Action.

115.282 Access to emergency medical and mental health services

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The facility does not contract with medical or mental health services. Victims of sexual abuse would be transported to the Hennepin County Medical Center emergency department to receive medical treatment. The medical professionals treating the individual would determine the nature and scope of services provided to the individual. The facility does not maintain any third-party medical information.

The medical professionals treating the victim at the emergency department would inform the victim about additional testing and treatment. The individual would follow treatment plans provided by medical professionals and be allowed to attend any future appointments.

115.283	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The facility does not contract with medical or mental health professionals. Confined persons would receive continuing care from community medical and mental health providers. Confined individuals have unrestricted access to landline telephones and a cell phone to schedule follow-up appointments.
	Facility staff are always available to assist the confined individual.

115.286 Sexual abuse incident reviews Auditor Overall Determination: Exceeds Standard **Auditor Discussion** The facility reported two allegations of sexual harassment (including an unfounded incident) in the past twelve months. Facility policy #2-10 (page 48) states, "A sexual abuse incident review will be conducted within thirty days after the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded. The Program Director listed the Case Manager, Senior Case Manager, PREA Coordinator, and herself as members of the incident review team. Facility policy #2-10 (page 48) outlines that the review team will consider items (1-6) listed in paragraph (d) of this standard. The Program Director confirmed that the incident review team would document any improvement recommendations. If the recommendations are not implemented, the reason for not implementing them will be documented. The facility conducts an incident review on every sexual harassment and sexual abuse investigation, including unfounded investigations. Two completed incident reviews were conducted on the two reported allegations of

sexual harassment. The facility has an incident review template with each requirement of this standard listed that is completed during the review. The incident reviews were checked during the onsite audit, part of the investigative file.

The agency exceeds this standard by conducting incident reviews on every allegation (including unfounded) for sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations.

115.287	Data collection
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The facility collects accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse and harassment using a standardized instrument with a set of definitions. Sexual abuse data is reviewed annually and includes the data necessary to answer all the questions on the most recent version of the survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice.
	The facility maintains, reviews, and collects data from incident-based documents and publishes the information on the agency website. It does not have confined persons of its own; it houses male prisoners for the Federal Bureau of Prisons and Federal Probation.

115.288	Data review for corrective action
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The senior director of Community Justice, Program Director, and PREA Coordinator review sexual abuse data annually for ongoing improvement and any corrective action that should be implemented. The senior director approves an annual statistics report published on the agency's website: https://www.voamnwi.org/residential-reentry-centers .
	The agency redacts all personal identifying information from the report.

115.289 Data storage, publication, and destruction

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Incident-based sexual abuse data is securely maintained electronically and on paper. The paper documents are secured in a file in a locked office.

The facility makes all aggregated sexual abuse data available on the agency's website: https://www.voamnwi.org/residential-reentry-centers.

The facility maintains collected sexual abuse data for at least ten years after the date of the initial collection unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise.

The facility was compliant with Minnesota Rule 2920.4900.1. This rule requires the agency to maintain accurate and complete records, reports, and statistics necessary for the conduct of this program. Appropriate safeguards must be established to protect the confidentiality of the records and minimize the possibility of theft, loss, or destruction during their August 2023 inspection.

115.401 Frequency and scope of audits

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The agency operates two facilities. This is Volunteers of America—Lake Street Residential Reentry Center's fourth PREA Audit. In September 2020, the facility was found to be in full compliance.

During the onsite audit, I was granted full access to the facility. The tour included all living areas, restrooms, staff offices, dining room, male laundry, library, confined persons' rooms, and laundry area. I met privately with staff in a conference room and confined persons in the library without interference. Additionally, I engaged in informal conversations with staff and confined persons. On the first day of the onsite audit, forty-two male confined persons were in the facility.

PREA audit notices were prominently displayed in English and Spanish in the main lobby and all common areas in the facility. The Program Manager confirmed via email that the notices had been posted six weeks before the audit; four of the ten confined persons acknowledged seeing the notices, and the six residents who didn't see the notices were asked if they saw the posting in the main lobby that is visible when you walk in the door and next to the check-in area. There were various responses, from "just go in and out and don't pay attention" and "don't pay attention to the postings." The notices were posted during the onsite audit, and the auditor's contact information was displayed. No correspondence was received

throughout the audit process.

Volunteers of America is celebrating 128 years of service to the community. The organization aims to employ compassionate people who care about the people they work with and want to provide support and services for success. New employees who may have contact with confined persons receive initial PREA training individually with the training officer. The training process was confirmed during interviews of staff with four weeks up to three years of employment. The confined persons interviewed were complimentary of how staff interacted with them and how helpful the case managers were.

Since the last PREA audit, a new employee has been designated as the PREA Coordinator. She is well organized and doing an excellent job acclimating to the new duties and the initiative to continue to learn and understand the PREA standards.

115.403	Audit contents and findings
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The agency has its 2021 final PREA Audit Report posted on its website: https://www.voamnwi.org/residential-reentry-centers.
	The signed contract requires posting the final audit report within ninety days of receipt. The email with the final audit report attached will also include a reminder to post it within ninety days.

Appendix: Provision Findings			
115.211 (a)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator		
	Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes	
	Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes	
115.211 (b)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment coordinator	nt; PREA	
	Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator?	yes	
	Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy?	yes	
	Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its community confinement facilities?	yes	
115.212 (a)	Contracting with other entities for the confinement o	f residents	
	If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its residents with private agencies or other entities, including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to adopt and comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents.)	na	
115.212 (b)	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents		
	Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents.)	na	
115.212 (c)	Contracting with other entities for the confinement o	f residents	
	If the agency has entered into a contract with an entity that fails to comply with the PREA standards, did the agency do so only in	na	

	emergency circumstances after making all reasonable attempts to find a PREA compliant private agency or other entity to confine residents? (N/A if the agency has not entered into a contract with an entity that fails to comply with the PREA standards.)	
	In such a case, does the agency document its unsuccessful attempts to find an entity in compliance with the standards? (N/A if the agency has not entered into a contract with an entity that fails to comply with the PREA standards.)	na
115.213 (a)	Supervision and monitoring	
	Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring to protect residents against sexual abuse?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The physical layout of each facility?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the resident population?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors?	yes
115.213 (b)	Supervision and monitoring	
	In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (NA if no deviations from staffing plan.)	na
115.213 (c)	Supervision and monitoring	
	In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to the staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to prevailing	yes

	staffing patterns?	
	In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to the facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to the resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adequate staffing levels?	yes
115.215 (a)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip searches or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners?	yes
115.215 (b)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat- down searches of female residents, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.)	na
	Does the facility always refrain from restricting female residents' access to regularly available programming or other outside opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.)	na
115.215 (c)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches?	yes
	Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female residents?	yes
115.215 (d)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility have policies that enable residents to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without non-medical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	yes
	Does the facility have procedures that enable residents to shower,	yes
	-	1

	perform bodily functions, and change clothing without non- medical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	
	Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an area where residents are likely to be showering, performing bodily functions, or changing clothing?	yes
115.215 (e)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex residents for the sole purpose of determining the resident's genital status?	yes
	If the resident's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the resident, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner?	yes
115.215 (f)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?	yes
	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex residents in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?	yes
115.216 (a)	Residents with disabilities and residents who are lim English proficient	ited
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are blind or have low vision?	yes

115.216 (b)	Residents with disabilities and residents who are lim English proficient	ited
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Who are blind or have low vision?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have limited reading skills?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have intellectual disabilities?	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with residents who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes.)	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have speech disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have psychiatric disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have intellectual disabilities?	yes

	Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to residents who are limited English proficient?	yes
	Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
115.216 (c)	Residents with disabilities and residents who are limental English proficient	ited
	Does the agency always refrain from relying on resident interpreters, resident readers, or other types of resident assistants except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the resident's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.264, or the investigation of the resident's allegations?	yes
115.217 (a)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two questions immediately above?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of	yes

	force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two questions immediately above?	yes
115.217 (b)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with residents?	yes
	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining to enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents?	yes
115.217 (c)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with residents, does the agency: Perform a criminal background records check?	yes
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with residents, does the agency, consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse?	yes
115.217 (d)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents?	yes
115.217 (e)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with residents or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees?	yes
115.217	Hiring and promotion decisions	

(f)		
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions?	yes
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees?	yes
	Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct?	yes
115.217 (g)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination?	yes
115.217 (h)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.)	yes
115.218 (a)	Upgrades to facilities and technology	
	If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012 or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	na
115.218 (b)	Upgrades to facilities and technology	
	If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the	yes

	agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated any video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012 or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	
115.221 (a)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
115.221 (b)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (NA if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
	Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/ Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (NA if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
115.221 (c)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate?	yes
	Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible?	yes
	If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)?	yes

	Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs?	yes
115.221 (d)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center?	yes
	If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member?	yes
	Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers?	yes
115.221 (e)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews?	yes
	As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals?	yes
115.221 (f)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
115.221 (h) Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations		
	If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (N/A if agency attempts to make a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims per 115.221(d) above).	na

115.222 (a)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment?	yes
115.222 (b)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investig	ations
	Does the agency have a policy in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior?	yes
	Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means?	yes
	Does the agency document all such referrals?	yes
115.222 (c)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investig	ations
	If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal investigations. See 115.221(a).)	yes
115.231 (a)	Employee training	
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Residents' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with	yes

residents on: The right of residents and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement? Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims? Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with yes
residents on: The dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement? Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims?
residents on: The common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims?
Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with yes
residents on: How to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse?
Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to avoid inappropriate relationships with residents?
Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to communicate effectively and professionally with residents, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming residents?
Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to comply with relevant laws related to
mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities?
mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities? 115.231 Employee training
mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities? 115.231 (b) Employee training Is such training tailored to the gender of the residents at the yes
mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities? 115.231 Employee training
mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities? 115.231 Employee training
mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities? 115.231 Employee training

	does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies?	
115.231 (d)	Employee training	
	Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received?	yes
115.232 (a)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures?	yes
115.232 (b)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with residents)?	yes
115.232 (c)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received?	yes
115.233 (a)	Resident education	
	During intake, do residents receive information explaining: The agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	During intake, do residents receive information explaining: How to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
	During intake, do residents receive information explaining: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes

	During intake, do residents receive information explaining: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents?	yes
	During intake, do residents receive information regarding agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents?	yes
115.233 (b)	Resident education	
	Does the agency provide refresher information whenever a resident is transferred to a different facility?	yes
115.233 (c)	Resident education	
	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are limited English proficient?	yes
	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are deaf?	yes
	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are visually impaired?	yes
	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are otherwise disabled?	yes
	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Have limited reading skills?	yes
115.233 (d)	Resident education	
	Does the agency maintain documentation of resident participation in these education sessions?	yes
115.233 (e)	Resident education	
	In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to residents through posters, resident handbooks, or other written formats?	yes
115.234 (a)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.231, does the agency ensure that, to the extent	yes

		,
	the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a)).	
115.234 (b)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	Does this specialized training include: Techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims?(N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a)).	yes
	Does this specialized training include: Proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings?(N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a)).	yes
	Does this specialized training include: Sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings?(N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a)).	yes
	Does this specialized training include: The criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a)).	yes
115.234 (c)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).)	yes
115.235 (a)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	na

Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) 115.235 Specialized training: Medical and mental health care If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff ereployed by the agency do not conduct forensic exams.) Specialized training: Medical and mental health care Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) Specialized training: Medical and mental health care Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)		
mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (IN/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (IN/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) Specialized training: Medical and mental health care If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (IN/A if agency does not employ medical staff or the medical staff employed by the agency do not conduct forensic exams.) Specialized training: Medical and mental health care Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (IN/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) Specialized training: Medical and mental health care Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by \$115.231? (IN/A for circumstances in which a particular status (employee or contractor/volunteer) does not apply.)	mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in	na
mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) 115.235 (b) Specialized training: Medical and mental health care If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency does not employ medical staff or the medical staff employed by the agency do not conduct forensic exams.) Specialized training: Medical and mental health care Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) 115.235 (d) Specialized training: Medical and mental health care Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by \$115.231? (N/A for circumstances in which a particular status (employee or contractor/volunteer) does not apply.)	mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its	na
If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency does not employ medical staff or the medical staff employed by the agency do not conduct forensic exams.) 115.235 Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental	na
examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency does not employ medical staff or the medical staff employed by the agency do not conduct forensic exams.) 115.235 (c) Specialized training: Medical and mental health care Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) 115.235 (d) Specialized training: Medical and mental health care Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by \$115.231? (N/A for circumstances in which a particular status (employee or contractor/volunteer) does not apply.)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) 115.235 (d) Specialized training: Medical and mental health care Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.231? (N/A for circumstances in which a particular status (employee or contractor/volunteer) does not apply.)	examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency does not employ medical staff or the medical staff employed by the agency do not	na
mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) 115.235 (d) Specialized training: Medical and mental health care Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.231? (N/A for circumstances in which a particular status (employee or contractor/volunteer) does not apply.)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.231? (N/A for circumstances in which a particular status (employee or contractor/volunteer) does not apply.)	mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental	na
agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.231? (N/A for circumstances in which a particular status (employee or contractor/volunteer) does not apply.)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
Do modical and montal health care practitioners contracted by	agency also receive training mandated for employees by	na
Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by na	·	

S
S
S
S
S
S
S
s

	Whether the resident's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent?	
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the resident about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener's perception whether the resident is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has previously experienced sexual victimization?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: The resident's own perception of vulnerability?	yes
115.241 (e)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse?	yes
	In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses?	yes
	In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency:	yes
	history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse?	
115.241 (f)	history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse? Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
		yes

115.241 (g)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Does the facility reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a: Referral?	yes
	Does the facility reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a: Request?	yes
	Does the facility reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a: Incident of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the facility reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a: Receipt of additional information that bears on the resident's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness?	yes
115.241 (h)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Is it the case that residents are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs $(d)(1)$, $(d)(7)$, $(d)(8)$, or $(d)(9)$ of this section?	yes
115.241 (i)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the resident's detriment by staff or other residents?	yes
115.242 (a)	Use of screening information	
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments?	yes

Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments? Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments? Use of screening information Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each resident? Use of screening information When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex resident to a facility for male or female residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns residents to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)? When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would present management or security problems? Use of screening information Are each transgender or intersex resident's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments? Use of screening information Are transgender and intersex residents given the opportunity to shower separately from other residents? Use of screening information			
by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments? 115.242 (b) Use of screening information Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each resident? Use of screening information When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex resident to a facility for male or female residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns residents to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)? When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems? 115.242 (d) Use of screening information Are each transgender or intersex resident's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments? Use of screening information Are transgender and intersex residents given the opportunity to shower separately from other residents?		by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of	yes
(b) Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each resident? 115.242 (c) Use of screening information When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex resident to a facility for male or female residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns residents to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)? When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems? Use of screening information Are each transgender or intersex resident's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments? Use of screening information Are transgender and intersex residents given the opportunity to shower separately from other residents?		by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of	yes
ensure the safety of each resident? 115.242 (c) Use of screening information When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex resident to a facility for male or female residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns residents to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)? When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems? 115.242 (d) Use of screening information Are each transgender or intersex resident's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments? Use of screening information Are transgender and intersex residents given the opportunity to shower separately from other residents?		Use of screening information	
When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex resident to a facility for male or female residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns residents to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)? When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems? Use of screening information Are each transgender or intersex resident's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments? Use of screening information Are transgender and intersex residents given the opportunity to shower separately from other residents?			yes
resident to a facility for male or female residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns residents to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)? When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems? Use of screening information Are each transgender or intersex resident's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments? Use of screening information Are transgender and intersex residents given the opportunity to shower separately from other residents?		Use of screening information	
transgender or intersex residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems? 115.242 (d) Use of screening information Are each transgender or intersex resident's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments? Use of screening information Are transgender and intersex residents given the opportunity to shower separately from other residents?		resident to a facility for male or female residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns residents to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in	yes
Are each transgender or intersex resident's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments? Use of screening information Are transgender and intersex residents given the opportunity to shower separately from other residents?		transgender or intersex residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would	yes
respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments? Use of screening information Are transgender and intersex residents given the opportunity to shower separately from other residents?		Use of screening information	
(e) Use of screening information Are transgender and intersex residents given the opportunity to shower separately from other residents? yes		respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and	yes
shower separately from other residents?		Use of screening information	
115.242 Use of screening information			yes
	115.242	Use of screening information	

(f)		
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I residents pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I residents pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing: intersex residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I residents pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes
115.251 (a)	Resident reporting	
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Retaliation by other residents or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents?	yes
115.251 (b)	Resident reporting	

	Does the agency also provide at least one way for residents to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency?	yes
	Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward resident reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials?	yes
	Does that private entity or office allow the resident to remain anonymous upon request?	yes
115.251 (c)	Resident reporting	
	Do staff members accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties?	yes
	Do staff members promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
115.251 (d)	Resident reporting	
	Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report	yes
	sexual abuse and sexual harassment of residents?	
115.252 (a)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
		no
	Exhaustion of administrative remedies Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address resident grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because a resident does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not	no
(a) 115.252	Exhaustion of administrative remedies Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address resident grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because a resident does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse.	no
(a) 115.252	Exhaustion of administrative remedies Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address resident grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because a resident does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse. Exhaustion of administrative remedies Does the agency permit residents to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.)	

	with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	
115.252 (c)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency ensure that: a resident who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that: such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.252 (d)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by residents in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	If the agency determines that the 90-day timeframe is insufficient to make an appropriate decision and claims an extension of time (the maximum allowable extension is 70 days per 115.252(d)(3)), does the agency notify the resident in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the resident does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may a resident consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.252 (e)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Are third parties, including fellow residents, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist residents in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of residents? (If a third party files such a request on behalf	yes

	of a resident, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	
	If the resident declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the resident's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.252 (f)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance alleging a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the resident is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.252 (g)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	If the agency disciplines a resident for filing a grievance related to	yes

	alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the resident filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	
115.253 (a)	Resident access to outside confidential support servi	ces
	Does the facility provide residents with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving residents mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations?	yes
	Does the facility enable reasonable communication between residents and these organizations, in as confidential a manner as possible?	yes
115.253 (b)	Resident access to outside confidential support servi	ces
	Does the facility inform residents, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws?	yes
115.253 (c)	Resident access to outside confidential support servi	ces
	Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide residents with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements?	yes
115.254 (a)	Third party reporting	
	Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of a resident?	yes
115.261 (a)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or	yes

information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency?	
Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against residents or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation?	yes
Staff and agency reporting duties	
Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, do staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions?	yes
Staff and agency reporting duties	
Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform residents of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services?	yes
Staff and agency reporting duties	
If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws?	yes
Staff and agency reporting duties	
Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators?	yes
	harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency? Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against residents or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation? Staff and agency reporting duties Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, do staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions? Staff and agency reporting duties Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform residents of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services? Staff and agency reporting duties If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws? Staff and agency reporting duties Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the

115.262 (a)	Agency protection duties	
	When the agency learns that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the resident?	yes
115.263 (a)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Upon receiving an allegation that a resident was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred?	yes
115.263 (b)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation?	yes
115.263 (c)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Does the agency document that it has provided such notification?	yes
115.263 (d)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards?	yes
115.264 (a)	Staff first responder duties	
	Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate,	yes

	washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	
	Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes
115.264 (b)	Staff first responder duties	
	If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff?	yes
115.265 (a)	Coordinated response	
	Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse?	yes
115.266 (a)	Preservation of ability to protect residents from contabusers	act with
	Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any residents pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted?	yes
115.267 (a)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Has the agency established a policy to protect all residents and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other residents or staff?	yes

	Has the agency designated which staff members or departments	yes
	are charged with monitoring retaliation?	
115.267 (b)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for resident victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or resident abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for residents or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations?	yes
115.267 (c)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any resident disciplinary reports?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency:4. Monitor resident housing changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor resident program changes?	yes

	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignment of staff?	yes
	Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need?	yes
115.267 (d)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	In the case of residents, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks?	yes
115.267 (e)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation?	yes
115.271 (a)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).)	yes
	Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? (N/A if the agency/ facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).)	yes
115.271 (b)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.234?	yes
115.271 (c)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
1		
	Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial	yes

	evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data?	
	Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses?	yes
	Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator?	yes
115.271 (d)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution?	yes
115.271 (e)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as resident or staff?	yes
	Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring a resident who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding?	yes
115.271 (f)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse?	yes
	Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings?	yes
115.271 (g)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible?	yes

(h)		
	Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution?	yes
115.271 (i)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.271(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years?	yes
115.271 (j)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the facility or agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation?	yes
115.271 (I)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).)	yes
115.272 (a)	Evidentiary standard for administrative investigation	S
	Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated?	yes
115.273 (a)	Reporting to residents	
	Following an investigation into a resident's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the resident as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded?	yes
115.273 (b)	Reporting to residents	
	If the agency did not conduct the investigation into a resident's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency	yes

	request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the resident? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.)	
115.273 (c)	Reporting to residents	
	Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the resident's unit?	yes
	Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility?	yes
	Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility?	yes
	Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
115.273 (d)	Reporting to residents	
	Following a resident's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
	Following a resident's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident, does the agency subsequently inform	yes

	the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse	
115.273 (e)	within the facility? Reporting to residents	
	Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications?	yes
115.276 (a)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies?	yes
115.276 (b)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse?	yes
115.276 (c)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories?	yes
115.276 (d)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal?	yes
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes
115.277 (a)	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers	

	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with residents?	yes
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	yes
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes
115.277 (b)	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers	
	In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with residents?	yes
115.278 (a)	Disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	Following an administrative finding that a resident engaged in resident-on-resident sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for resident-on-resident sexual abuse, are residents subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process?	yes
115.278 (b)	Disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the resident's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other residents with similar histories?	yes
115.278 (c)	Disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether a resident's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior?	yes
115.278 (d)	Disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending resident to participate in such interventions as a	no

	condition of access to programming and other benefits?	
115.278 (e)	Disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	Does the agency discipline a resident for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact?	yes
115.278 (f)	Disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation?	yes
115.278 (g)	Disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	Does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between residents to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between residents.)	yes
115.282 (a)	Access to emergency medical and mental health serv	rices
	Do resident victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment?	yes
115.282 (b)	Access to emergency medical and mental health serv	rices
	If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.262?	yes
	Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners?	yes
115.282 (c)		
	appropriate medical and mental health practitioners?	

	about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate?	
115.282 (d)	Access to emergency medical and mental health serv	ices
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes
115.283 (a)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual a victims and abusers	buse
	Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all residents who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility?	yes
115.283 (b)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual a victims and abusers	buse
	Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody?	yes
115.283 (c)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual a victims and abusers	buse
	Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care?	yes
115.283 (d)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual a victims and abusers	buse
	Are resident victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while	na
	incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if "all-male" facility. Note: in "all-male" facilities, there may be residents who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.)	
115.283 (e)	Note: in "all-male" facilities, there may be residents who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific	buse
	Note: in "all-male" facilities, there may be residents who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.) Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual a	buse

	information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if "all-male" facility. Note: in "all-male" facilities, there may be residents who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.)		
115.283 (f)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual al victims and abusers	buse	
	Are resident victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate?	yes	
115.283 (g)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual al victims and abusers	buse	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes	
115.283 (h)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers		
	Does the facility attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known resident-on-resident abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners?	yes	
115.286 (a)	Sexual abuse incident reviews		
	Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded?	yes	
115.286 (b)	Sexual abuse incident reviews		
	Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation?	yes	
115.286 (c)	Sexual abuse incident reviews		
	Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners?	yes	

115.286 (d)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility?	yes
	Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff?	yes
	Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.286(d)(1)-(d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager?	yes
115.286 (e)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so?	yes
115.287 (a)	Data collection	
	Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions?	yes
115.287 (b)	Data collection	
	Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually?	yes
115.287	Data collection	

(c)		
	Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice?	yes
115.287 (d)	Data collection	
	Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews?	yes
115.287 (e)	Data collection	
	Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its residents? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its residents.)	na
115.287 (f)	Data collection	
	Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.)	na
115.288 (a)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole?	yes

115.288 (b)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse?	yes
115.288 (c)	Data review for corrective action	
	Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
115.288 (d)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility?	yes
115.289 (a)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.287 are securely retained?	yes
115.289 (b)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
115.289 (c)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available?	yes
115.289 (d)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.287 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise?	yes

115.401 (a)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	yes
115.401 (b)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	no
	If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the second year of the current audit cycle.)	yes
	If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the third year of the current audit cycle.)	na
115.401 (h)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility?	yes
115.401 (i)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)?	yes
115.401 (m)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with residents?	yes
115.401 (n)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Were inmates, residents, and detainees permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the	yes

	same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel?	
115.403 (f)	Audit contents and findings	
	The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or, in the case of single facility agencies, there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.)	yes